

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/781,276	02/18/2004	David J. Stroh	GP-303616	2049 .	
75	90 11/02/2005		EXAMINER		
CHRISTOPHER DEVRIES			HOANG, JOHNNY H		
General Motors Legal Staff, Ma	Corporation il Code 482-C23-B21	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
P.O. Box 300		3747			
Detroit, MI 48	3265-3000	DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)						
		10/781,276	5	STROH, DAVID J.					
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Johnny H. I		3747				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MISSIONS of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.13 nunication. atutory period w will, by statute,	ATE OF THI 36(a). In no ever will apply and will , cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION  It, however, may a reply be tim  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•						
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>16 Au</u>	ugust 2005.						
·			action is no	n-final.	·				
3)	, <del> _</del>								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or	r election re	quirement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner	r.			•			
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02/18/04</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)			·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	-	Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:									

Page 2

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

2. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Murakami et al.

(US 6,052,644).

Regarding claim 1, the reference of Murakami et al discloses a speed control device

which including:

a pedal sensor that generates a pedal device position signal (col. 14, lines 14-35);

an adjusted pedal module that determines an adjusted pedal based on said pedal device

position signal and a vehicle speed (col. 14, lines 36-47); and

an engine torque request module that determines an engine torque request based on

said adjusted pedal and an engine speed (col. 14, lines 48-65).

Regarding claim 2, the reference of Murakami et al further teaches an engine control

device (41) composed an engine controller (41) (col. 15, lines 36-53).

Regarding claim 3, the reference of Murakami et al further teaches an output shaft

speed sensor that generates an output shaft speed signal, wherein said output shaft speed

signal is indicative of a rotational speed of an output shaft of a transmission that is driven by

said engine (col. 1, lines 51-65).

Regarding claims 4, and 9, as discussed in claims 1-3.

Regarding claims 5-8, as above discussions and the reference of Murakami et al further

teaches the engine controller (41) calculates the fuel injection amount equivalent to the stroke

amount, and at the same time, calculates the fuel injection timing suited to the engine speed at that

time to output a fuel injection amount signal and a fuel injection timing signal to the fuel injection amount control solenoid valve (42) and the fuel injection timing control solenoid valve (43) (as discussed in claim 2, and see the specification of Murakami et al for more details).

Claims 10-17 are rejected the same reasons of claims 1-9.

The method of claims 18-25 is rejected the same reason as claims 1-9.

Regarding claims 26-33, as above discussions.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed August 16, 2005 have been fully considered but are moot in 3. view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Claims 1-33 are pending.

## Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure

Collonia (US 4,301,883).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Johnny H. Hoang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4843. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday (7:00Am-5: 30Pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on (571) 272-4856.

Application/Control Number: 10/781,276

Art Unit: 3747

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JHH

October 24, 2005

Johnny H. Hoang Examiner Art Unit 3747

Tony M. Argenbright
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3747